

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,214	08/08/2005	Johannes Noisternig	NOISTERNIG ET ALI (PCT)	2595
25889 WILLIAM CC	7590 05/16/2007 OLLARD		EXAMINER	
COLLARD &	ROE, P.C.		LYJAK, LORI LYNN	
1077 NORTHEF ROSLYN, NY 1	IERN BOULEVARD Y 11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• • • • •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/520,214	NOISTERNIG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lori L. Lyjak	3612			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of the proid for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	August 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 08 August 2005 is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ceived in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bure	, , , ,	anivad			
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachmen		🗖 :				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 01042005.		rmal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, "least two molded parts, using fiber-reinforced plastic" (line 3) and "the molded parts are essentially formed of organic sheet material" (lines 7 and 8) is not clear. Are the molded parts formed of fiber-reinforced plastic or organic sheet material? "At least one crosswise shank that extends essentially perpendicular to it" (lines 26 and 16) is not clear. What is positively recited by "it"? "At least one reinforcement rib of plastic, particularly fiber-reinforced plastic" (lines 18-19) is not clear. Does a plastic reinforcement rib or fiber-reinforced plastic reinforcement rib meets the claim limitation?

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,214

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The recitations of the specific features of the inherently rigid instrument holder assembly in claim 1 including especially the construction of the upper shell and a lower shell, forming at least one reinforcement profile that extends in the longitudinal direction between the support elements in each instance which profile has at least one vertical ridge that runs essentially vertically and at least one crosswise shank that extends essentially perpendicular to it and at least one of the shells is provided with at least one reinforcement rib of plastic not taught nor is fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited references show several other dashboards similar to that of the current invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori L. Lyjak whose telephone number is 571-272-6658. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lou Lotyjak

Lori L. Lyjak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

III May 9, 2007